ssatur@clicnmi.org

Back Archive Spam Delete Mark as unread Move to Inbox More 2 of 3

Information, Explanation, and Attachments

Inbox ×

James Wasil <james.wasil@gmail.com>
to Susan

Wed, May 28, 1:44 AM Reply

Hi Susan,

I hope this finds you well. I apologize for the lengthy email, but it was necessary as it encapsulated most everything I wanted to say.

I was trying to navigate the CNMI office of Medicaid/Medicare on very short notice, but I am confused over the fact that according to this memo for Ohio Medicaid, it had already expired from the notice I will forward to you in this email (attached).

I need to address and take care of that immediately, as mentioned in the conversation that I had when we were dealing with COTA.

When I contacted them 3 weeks ago, they provided me an email address to send things digitally (I am not certain who I spoke with at that time; I think her name was Jen or Nancy), and she said that she could handle this.

With dealing with the Office of Aging, the NAP, and so forth, I assumed it would be handled accordingly. I did not expect negligence nor did I procrastinate, I just expected that it would be done in 2 to 3 weeks...but it was not.

When I called in today I got another person (05/28/2025 at Wednesday 11am). When calling the CNMI Medicaid office before I called you, to inquire about why

https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#search/eflemingdavid%40gmail.com/FMfcgzQbfVBJgpf

eflemingdavid@gmail.com

Back Archive Spam Delete Mark as unread Move to Inbox More 1 of 2

45,691

186

6,626

14,843

22,311

ts 2009

Home Bound Meal

Inbox ×

Evelyn Fleming-David <eflemingdavid@gmail.com> May 29, 2025, 10:01 PM Reply

to me

Good morning sir. Regret to inform you that today Friday 5/30/25 will be your last delivery. According to your application you are 45 years old and the Federal Eligibility Creteria must be 60 years old, this is my oversight and I am very sorry.

Please call me if you have any questions or concerns.

Respectfully,

Evelyn Fleming David
Office on Aging
(670)233-1321

James Wasil <james.wasil@gmail.com>
to waltermanglona670, Evelyn

May 30, 2025, 12:26 AM

Reply

Hi Vivian,

I am not sure whether to be disappointed and angry about this, or if I have to go higher up the ladder to address this. I have many questions that come, though I am limited on how I speak, so my concerns and grievances will be said here.

The timing is impeccable and very suspicious to me, and here is why.

I was having a conversation with Susan Satur who was helping me complete my medicare being changed over to the CNMI from Ohio. We met yesterday morning at 9:00am with Zachary Peters who was her assistant. During our conversation, it was mentioned that I get only $41 to last me the entire month from,NAP, and that the Office of Aging was helping me.

There were only two people there: Me, Zachary Peters, and Susan Satur. And she said verbatim: "They did? According to what I know, you are not supposed to be getting that". To which I replied: "I'm not? I had a stroke last year and I could barely talk, and this falls in line with Federal Disability Laws and the ADA, so why would I not be getting it unless you were to MAKE me not get it?"

She then paused, looked over at Zachary, and said: "Oh uhh...I well...I won't say anything...but you are not supposed to be getting it...because you are not 60 yet".

So I said "Oh, if I had been 59.5 years old then and had a stroke like I was 45, you would make me wait even still because I am not 60 yet, despite being disabled and having mobility issues at the age of 45, because this magically changes things for you and the law? Is that right?" to which she said "That is correct", to which I said: "That is NOT correct Susan, because you ARE discriminating against me on every level. You say it is "correct", however the Federal Disability Laws and the ADA would disagree with you, as they DO protect people like me from this, and you could not deny or discriminate against AGE, RACE, OR DISABILITY at the FEDERAL LEVEL.

Ageism (age-based discrimination) is defined by

The Age Discrimination Act of 1975 prohibits discrimination on the basis of age in programs and activities receiving federal financial assistance. The Act, which

The Age Discrimination Act of 1975 prohibits discrimination on the basis of age in programs and activities receiving federal financial assistance. The Act, which applies to all ages, permits the use of certain age distinctions and factors other than age that meet the Act's requirements. The Age Discrimination Act is enforced by the Civil Rights Center.

The Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 (ADEA) protects certain applicants and employees 40 years of age and older from discrimination on the basis of age in hiring, promotion, discharge, compensation, or terms, conditions or privileges of employment.

The ADEA is enforced by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission

Section 188 of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 (WIA) prohibits discrimination against applicants, employees and participants in WIA Title I-financially assisted programs and activities, and programs that are part of the One-Stop system, on the ground of age. In addition, WIA prohibits discrimination on the grounds of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, disability, political affiliation or belief, and for beneficiaries only, citizenship or participation in a WIA Title I-financially assisted program or activity. Section 188 of WIA is enforced by the Civil Rights Center.

I was approved after 3-4 months for this following my stroke and medical records. You were just fine with it, and I had been getting Homebound delivery meals with this program since February, 2025 as a safety net, because the NAP has failed me.

I have turned in every piece of paperwork and submitted this and it was acknowledged under FEDERAL DISABILITY LAWS that were established by the ADA to ensure fair treatment for all, yet you are discriminating against me for my age and the timing is very telling of what happened there and how I was singled out for this. Because it falls on THE NEXT DAY - 24 HOURS AFTER I had made this known to Susan Satur that you were helping me! But for 4 MONTHS this was not a problem except when she mentioned it YESTERDAY? Is that so?!

Why the change of heart after 4 MONTHS time after a conversation with Susan and doing things to end this in 24 HOURS? You had many, MANY months to check this or find error in it, but never until the moment Susan had entered the picture? And this was done instantly. More than a little fishy?

You mentioned that someone named "Jim" had been complaining about me and the deliveries there with heavy condemnation. That is really interesting too, as I have not even once met this man in my entire life because I am either home or away most of the time, and yet he has stories to tell when he does not even know who I am?

I was intending to stay on SAIPAN, never realizing that it was SPY-PAN, with gossip trying to ruin things for me every step of the way.

I was intending to stay on SAIPAN, never realizing that it was SPY-PAN, with gossip trying to ruin things for me every step of the way.

What I expected was a federal office that I could trust, but what I got was middle-school treatment, debasement, and taking cheap shots wherever they may arise. And when I was not doing enough to get what they wanted to say about me because I was not there, they resorted to gossip and threw anything on to the wall to hope it would stick? Is that so?

I am not about that, and I do not talk about people or to them about anyone. That is why I am telling you through email what happened officially and chronologically in the time frame that was referenced, and it could not be more damning than it is.

For delivering the last meal and honoring your word, I would like to say Thank You for that, but in all other things, I will not take this sitting down.

It makes no difference who was to blame, because you have directly broken the Federal ADA Disability Laws concerning anti-discrimination regardless, by denying me rights based upon my AGE and also by DISABILITY.

Section 6102. Prohibition of discrimination

Pursuant to regulations prescribed under section 6103 of this title, and except as provided by section 6103(b) of this title and section 6103(c) of this title, no person in the United States shall, on the basis of age, be excluded from participation, in be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under, any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance.

Seeing that you are going to kick me while I am down and I will not be provided support from you going forward, you are compelling me to leave this island completely.

It makes no difference who was to blame, because you have directly broken the Federal ADA Disability Laws concerning anti-discrimination regardless, by denying me rights based upon my AGE and also by DISABILITY.

Section 6102. Prohibition of discrimination

Pursuant to regulations prescribed under section 6103 of this title, and except as provided by section 6103(b) of this title and section 6103(c) of this title, no person in the United States shall, on the basis of age, be excluded from participation, in be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under, any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance.

Seeing that you are going to kick me while I am down and I will not be provided support from you going forward, you are compelling me to leave this island completely.

I had high hopes that I could stay, but you (or someone in your office) was determined to slander me which is a very cowardly thing for them to do.

If I choose to stay on island, I have no choice but to follow up with the Office of the Attorney General about this, NMPASI, and U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) - Civil Rights Division - Disability Rights Section and also U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) - Office for Civil Rights (OCR) unless a resolution can be made.

Regards,

James Wasil